

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015.01.22 10:34
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**CWP No.12461 of 2000
Date of decision:21.01.2015**

Roop Lal and others

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Sangwan, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.R.C.Chaudhary, Advocate for respondents No. 3 and 4.

Mr.Sandeep Lather, Advocate for respondents No. 5 to 7.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondents No. 3 and 4 submits that since during the pendency of this writ petition, petitioners as well as private respondents No. 5 to 7 have retired from service after attaining the age of superannuation, cause of action does not survive any further and the present writ petition may be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

In view of the above-said statement made by learned counsel for respondents No. 3 and 4, the instant writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous. However, since nobody is present on behalf of the petitioners, liberty is granted to them to get this writ petition revived, if necessity arises.

Disposed of, accordingly.

21.01.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE