

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.11894 of 2000

Date of decision:14.01.2015

Kanwar Deep and others

... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Lalit Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Vandana Malhotra, Additional Advocate General,
Punjab.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. Of 23 petitioners, only two petitioners, namely, petitioners 2 and 19 remain to prosecute the petition. They were aspirants seeking for admission in MBBS course for the year 1998-99. They had given the entrance test and declared passed. While granting admission to the college, the 3rd respondent had collected ₹41,900/- as “excess fee” and ₹1 lakh as “security over normal fee” from each petitioner. The claim made by the petitioners were to the effect that they were both unauthorized heads of claims. The State supports the plea of the petitioners and contends that they could not have been collected by the 3rd respondent-College. The 3rd respondent is not present before court to justify the receipts made by

them, which according to the petitioners, are illegal. I would accord to the petitioners the relief that they claim and allow the writ petition as regards petitioners 2 and 19 and direct the amount of ₹41,900/- to be paid with interest at 9% from the date of the writ petition till date of payment. Since there is no representation for the 3rd respondent, the petitioners may apprise them of the order and claim the same. The payment shall be made within 2 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

2. The writ petition is allowed on the above terms for the two petitioners only.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

14.01.2015
sanjeev