

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1115-2009

Date of decision: 07.07.2015

Rajinder Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Daljit Singh Virk, AAG, Punjab.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner, by way of instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeks direction for taking appropriate action against respondents No.2 to 8 for implicating the petitioner in false cases.

Notice of motion was issued and pursuant thereto, reply by way of affidavit dated 14.04.2009 was filed. Thereafter, different status reports have been filed, which are available on record.

Earlier, when the case was taken up for hearing, learned proxy counsel sought pass over on the ground that learned arguing counsel for the petitioner would come to assist this Court. However, when the case was taken taken up again, this being the last case of the cause list, nobody came present on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Inspector Kulwant Singh, Police Station SHO State Crime, District SAS Nagar (Mohali), submits that in an identical petition bearing CRM-M-35733-2007,

this Court passed a detailed order dated 10.02.2015, directing the respondent-State to constitute a Special Investigating Team to investigate the case FIR No.40 dated 13.04.2007 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 409, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Machhiwara, District Ludhiana. He further submits that in compliance of the order dated 10.02.2015 passed by this Court, Special Investigating Team had already been constituted and matter is being investigated by the same. He concluded by submitting that since the petitioner is also seeking exactly the same relief qua the abovesaid FIR No.40 dated 13.04.2007, registered at Police Station Machhiwara, District Ludhiana, as referred in paras 4 and 5 of the petition, no further orders are called for and the present petition has already been rendered infructuous, which can be disposed of as such.

In view of the abovesaid statement made by learned counsel for the State and also keeping in view the abovesaid order dated 10.02.2015 passed by this Court, present petition does not survive and the same is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of, accordingly.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

07.07.2015
vishnu