

**246 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**IO IN RSA No.3909 of 1987
Date of Decision:-12.05.2015**

DHIAN SINGH

.....Appellant

Vs

CHUHAR SINGH ETC.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate
for Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Advocate
for the appellant.

None for respondents.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

This appeal was disposed of vide order dated 25.02.2015. Due to clerical error, instead of 25.02.2015, date was noted as 25.01.2015. Aforesaid clerical error is sought to be rectified by way of present application. The factum of date of decision being 25.02.2015 has been verified from Peshi Register. Since none appeared on behalf of the respondents rather there is no body present on behalf of respondents after their demise.

In view of aforesaid, there is no necessity for issuing of notice to the respondents. The date mentioned as 25.01.2015 at the end of the order is ordered to be corrected and it should be read as 25.02.2015.

Ordered accordingly.

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**