

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

R.S.A. No. 3909 of 1987

Decided on: 25.02.2015

Dhian Singh . . . Appellant

Versus

Chuhar Singh & Others . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate
for the appellant.

None for the respondents.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

In this appeal, learned counsel for the respondents has expired long back. Notices were issued to respondents No.2 and 3 for 01.10.2014. Later on, it was revealed that even respondents No.2 and 3 have also expired. Learned counsel for the appellant had sought time to implead legal representatives of deceased respondents No.2 and 4. Notice was issued to respondent No.1 also.

2. Now, as per office report, even respondent No.1 has also expired. Learned counsel for the appellant states that even the appellant has also expired and his office is not in position to receive any information regarding legal representatives of the deceased appellant as well as respondents.

R.S.A. No. 3909 of 1987

3. In view of aforesaid, the appeal is dismissed for non prosecution with the liberty to the office of learned counsel for the appellant to get it revived in case requisite information is supplied.

Ordered accordingly.

[Raj Mohan Singh]
Judge

25.01.2015
sachin