

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015.01.22 10:34
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**CWP No.11244 of 2000(O&M)
Date of decision:21.01.2015**

Managing Committee, Arya Girls High School, Panipat through its
Secretary-cum-Manager

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.Anshuman Mandhar, Advocate for
Mr.Pawan Kumar, Sr. Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.A.S.Chaudhary, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Mr.R.K.Malik, Sr. Advocate with
Ms.Rimple, Advocate for the private respondents.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State submits that in view of the nature of controversy involved and also by afflux of time, cause of action does not survive any further and the present writ petition may be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner also fairly states that let instant writ petition be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous, in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State. However, since, he is not having latest instructions, liberty may be granted to the petitioner to get the present petition revived, if necessity arises.

Ordered accordingly.

21.01.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE