

THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,  
AT CHANDIGARH

436

CWP No.10924 of 1998  
Decided on : 18.02.2015

*R.N.Singhal*

*... Petitioner*

*Versus*

*Haryana State MITC and another*

*... Respondents*

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : None.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

**Rameshwar Singh Malik , J. (Oral)**

In view of the nature of controversy involved and also by afflux of time, coupled with another material fact that respondent-corporation has been closed down for the last more than 10 years, it seems that cause of action is not surviving any further. This seems to be the reason that nobody is appearing on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, since nobody is present on behalf of the petitioner, liberty is granted to get the present petition revived, if necessity arises.

**[ Rameshwar Singh Malik ]**  
**Judge**

18.02.2015

sd