

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB  
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No. 18939 of 1991. [O&M]  
Date of Decision: 19<sup>th</sup> January, 2015.

Gram Panchayat Tatiana and Ors.                  Petitioners through  
                                                                                 Mr. Vishal Sharma Haritwal, Advocate

Versus

Collector, Kaithal & Ors. Respondents through  
Mr. R.K.S.Brar, Additional AG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

\*\*\*

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

\*\*\*

**SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]**

Mr. Vishal Sharma Haritwal, Advocate, who has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner – Gram Panchayat states that this writ petition has become infructuous as the possession of the subject-land has been taken by the Gram Panchayat.

Learned Additional AG, Haryana supports the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In this view, the writ petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

( **SURYA KANT** )  
**JUDGE**

**January 19, 2015.**  
**dinesh**

**( RAJ MOHAN SINGH )**  
**JUDGE**