

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRA-D-190-DB of 2015 (O&M).
Decided on:-14.09.2015.

Raghubir Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.***

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Manoj Bajaj, Addl. A.G., Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

HEMANT GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

None has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant. The present appeal has been filed against acquittal of respondents No.2 and 3 for the offences under Sections 420, 468 and 471 IPC.

The present appeal has been filed after a delay of 1547 days. The reason to seek condonation of delay is that the appellant had earlier filed a revision petition on 22.4.2011 before the Sessions Judge which was dismissed as withdrawn on 21.11.2014.

The right of appeal is conferred by way of amendment in the

Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 vide Act No.5 of 2009 w.e.f. December 31, 2009. Therefore, the revision preferred before the Sessions Judge was lacking in bonafide having filed after the amendment in the Code giving right of appeal.

In view of the above, no sufficient cause is made out to condone delay in filing of the present appeal directed against an order of acquittal.

Dismissed.

(HEMANT GUPTA)

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**

September 14, 2015
Yag Dutt