

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 18622 of 1994

DATE OF DECISION: 18.03.2015

Const. Mehar Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, D. A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The prayer in the present petition is for issuance of directions to the respondents to promote the petitioners as per their eligibility and seniority along with all consequential benefits.

Learned State counsel submits that a detailed reply has been filed and as per reply, the petitioners have been promoted as per their eligibility and seniority and this petition has become infructuous, whereas, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been promoted but their seniority has not been refixed and all consequential benefits have not been granted to them.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the

parties, nothing remains in the present petition.

However, in case the seniority of the petitioners has not been refixed, the respondents are directed to consider their case for refixation of their seniority and other benefits arising therefrom . In case the petitioners are aggrieved subsequently by any action of the respondents, they are at liberty to avail an appropriate remedy.

Disposed of accordingly.

March 18, 2015
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE