

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Appeal D-1323-DB of 2014
Date of Decision : January 29, 2015

Netar Pal

.....Appellant

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.S. MANN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. SHEKHER DHAWAN**

Present : Mr. S.S.Nara, Advocate

T.P.S. MANN, J. (Oral)

The complainant has filed the present appeal for challenging the judgment dated 6.12.2012 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri to the extent of acquitting respondents No.2 to 4 of the charge under Section 304-B IPC, or, in the alternative, under Section 302 IPC.

Having heard learned counsel for the appellant, we find that respondents No.2 and 3-Dharambir and Rama are the brothers-in-law of the deceased while respondent No.4-Kaushal is wife of one of the brothers-in-law of the deceased. These three respondents, alongwith the husband and mother-in-law of the deceased, were tried for the aforementioned offences. The trial Court, after appreciating the evidence brought on the record, came to the conclusion that it were the husband and mother-in-law of the

deceased, who were responsible for demanding dowry and harassing the deceased in connection with the same and, accordingly, convicted and sentenced them under Section 304-B IPC. At the same time, there are no specific allegations levelled against respondents No.2 to 4 of having played any part in the commission of the crime. They seem to have been roped in being near relatives of the husband of the deceased. Under these circumstances, no case is made out for any interference in the impugned judgment to the extent of acquitting respondents No.2 to 4 of the charges against them.

The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

**(T.P.S. MANN)
JUDGE**

January 29, 2015
ajay-1

**(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE**