

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.18260 of 1994. [O&M]
Date of Decision:03rd March, 2015.

Khazan & Ors.

Petitioners through
Mr. Sudhir Mittal, Advocate

Versus

Gram Panchayat Jakholi & Anr. Respondents through
Mr. R.N.Lohan, Advocate
for respondent No.1.
Mr. S.D.Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI**

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad-idem* that the controversy involved in the instant case which pertains to challenge laid to the amendment carried out in the Punjab Village Common Lands [Regulation] Act, 1961 vide Haryana Amendment Act No. 9 of 1992 notified on 11.02.1992, has been squarely answered by a Full Bench of this Court in **Jai Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors., 2003[2] RCR [Civil], 578.**

Disposed of in terms of the cited decision.

Dasti.

(**SURYA KANT**)
JUDGE

March 03, 2015.
dinesh

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE