

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Appeal No.S-443-SB of 2004(O&M)
Date of decision : 11.12.2015**

Darshan Singh

..... Appellant

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
- 2.To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
- 3.Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest? Yes/No

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

**Argued by: Mr. Sapan Dhir, Advocate
for the appellant.**

Mr. Deep Singh, AAG Punjab.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

Through the instant appeal, appellant Darshan Singh has laid challenge to the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 16.02.2004, by virtue of which he has been held guilty under Sections 7 and 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (for brevity, 'the Act') and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of two years with a fine of ₹1000/- under each head. In case of default of payment of fine, the appellant was directed to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for three months. Both the sentences were ordered to run concurrently.

The appellant was posted as Patwari of Halqa