

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.995 of 2014
Date of Decision: September 17, 2015**

Rajesh Kumar & Sons

.... Petitioner

vs.

M/s Mangat Ram and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 03.01.2014 passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Karnal, vide which the secondary evidence of producing the photocopy of the guarantee letter dated 11.11.2008 furnished by defendant No.2 was allowed on account of no objection raised by defendant No.1.

The plea of present petitioner is that he is defendant No.2 before the lower court and the said guarantee letter is to be proved against him. Therefore, without his consent, the impugned order cannot be passed merely on the consent of defendant No.1.

It being so, the impugned order is set aside and the lower court is directed to hear the parties again and dispose of the matter on merits.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands allowed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

September 17, 2015
sarita