

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 3001 of 1990(O&M)
Date of decision: 06.1.2015**

A.K.Srivastava

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.K.Sangwan, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vishal Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that since during pendency of the writ petition, petitioner has been dismissed from service and the said dismissal order is under challenge at the hands of the petitioner by way of separate writ petition, present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let the present writ petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, but liberty may be granted to the petitioner to get the writ petition revived, if necessity arises.

Ordered accordingly.

6.1.2015
AK Sharma

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**

AMIT KUMAR
2015.01.07 12:56
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document