

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.8851 of 2014
Date of Decision.07.08.2015**

Raja RamPetitioner

Versus

Municipal Committee, Ratia and othersRespondents

2. C.R. No.18 of 2015

Raja RamPetitioner

Versus

Hamir Singh and othersRespondents

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Antil, Advocate for
Mr. Sudhir Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that both the revision petitions have become infructuous and seeks for dismissal of the same as such.

2. Both the revision petitions are dismissed as having become infructuous.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**August 07, 2015
Pankaj***