

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.8673 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.01.2015

Harvinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

The Presiding Officer, Election Tribunal & others.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

Present: Mr. HPS Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Dalee, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the instant petition is that an application was filed by the petitioner before the Tribunal (Annexure P-2) in September, 2013, but the same has not been disposed of, despite the reply having already been filed by the opposite side.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 does not oppose the prayer made by petitioner if the Election Tribunal is directed to decide the application expeditiously.

When the matter was listed on 18.12.2014, respondent No.1 was directed to send the status report but the same has not

been sent.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.1 i.e. Presiding Officer of the Election Tribunal to dispose of the application (Annexure P-2) dated 23.09.2013 in accordance with law, expeditiously and preferably within a period of three days from 27.01.2015, the date already fixed before the Tribunal.

20.01.2015
sk

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge