

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

SR.NO.235

CR.NO.8624-2014
Decided on: 01.05.2015

Smt. Malli and others

.....Petitioners

VS

HUDA, Gurgaon and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.KANNAN

Present Ms. Monisha Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioners.
Mr.Raman Gaur, Advocate
for respondent no.1.

K.KANNAN, J

There is no necessity for the petitioners to arrive at this Court to complain that the evidence has been closed when there is obligation of the Court to examine any plea brought by the party for re-opening and recalling the witness. Sufficient reasons have not been given as to why the party could not conclude the evidence on the day when it was posted. The procedure for facing such a situation has been laid down by this Court in the decision in **Santosh Kumar Beri vs. Nirmala Devi 2013(1) PLR 404.** I decline to make any intervention in the petition and the petitioner is at liberty to approach the trial Court for consideration of the plea in the manner laid down in the aforesaid judgment.

Civil Revision stands disposed of with the above observations and liberty.

01.05.2015

mamta

(K.KANNAN)

JUDGE