

256

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.89 of 2015

Date of Decision: 15.12.2015

CHANDER PAL @ CHARAN PAL

.....Petitioner

Vs

SAMMAN AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate
for respondents.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the main suit has already been decided and this revision petition has become infructuous.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner, however pleads no instructions on these lines.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

If the petitioner finds the statement of learned counsel for the respondents to be incorrect, liberty is granted to get the present proceedings revived.

December 15, 2015

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**