

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh**

**Crl. Appeal No. S-549-SB of 2007 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 07.01.2015**

Rajiv

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA**

Present: Mr. C.R.Olla, Advocate for  
Mr. Pankaj Mehta, Advocate  
for the appellant.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**SABINA, J.**

Appellant had faced the trial qua commission of offence punishable under Section 186, 216, 224, 225, 332, 341, 353, 395 read with Section 397 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' for short) in FIR No. 144 dated 20.8.1998, registered at Police Station Bawani Khera. Trial Court vide judgment/order dated 11.1.2007/12.1.2007 ordered the conviction and sentence of the appellant under Section 332, 353, 341, 395 read with Section 397 IPC and 224 IPC. Hence, the present appeal by the appellant.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the appellant has not challenged the conviction of the appellant under Section 332, 353, 341, 395 read with Section 397 IPC and 224 IPC but has submitted that appellant has undergone more than six years of actual sentence out of seven years and the sentence qua imprisonment of the appellant be reduced to the period already

undergone by him. Appellant is the only bread earner of the family.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, it would be just and expedient to reduce the sentence qua imprisonment of the appellant to the period already undergone by him.

Accordingly, conviction of the appellant under Section 332, 353, 341, 395 read with Section 397 IPC and 224 IPC is maintained. However, sentence qua imprisonment of the appellant is reduced to the period already undergone by him.

Appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

**(SABINA)  
JUDGE**

**January 07, 2015**

**Gurpreet**