

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.8581 of 2014

Date of Decision: 18.08.2015

M/s Parasvnath Developers Ltd. and another

... Petitioners

Versus

M/s Moksh Leisure & Entertainment Pvt. Ltd.

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Birinder Singh Rana, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Gagandeep Rana, Advocate for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

After addressing arguments for sometime, learned counsel for the parties are ad idem in case revision petition is disposed of after making some interim measures and direction to the trial Court to decide the suit as expeditiously as possible by giving two or three opportunities to each of the parties to the lis.

The present revision petition is accordingly disposed of with direction that both the parties to the lis, i.e., plaintiff and defendants shall adhere to the following directions:-

- 1) Subject to payment of maintenance charges at the rate of ₹15 per sq. ft. as interim measures plus ₹45,000/- the tentative Grid & DG charges for each month.

- 2) Petitioner/defendant No.2 shall supply following facilities:-
- i) Uninterrupted electricity supply.
 - ii) Functioning of lifts and escalator.
 - iii) Working chiller plant besides the house keeping and watch & ward facilities.

The trial Court is directed to expedite the decision of the suit preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order by giving three opportunities each to the parties to lead evidence in accordance with law.

However, this order will be construed only as interim measures and shall not have any bearing on the merits and demerits of the suit.

August 18, 2015
pawan

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE