

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 8856 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 24.12.2015

Jeeto Kaur @ Jeet Kaur

..... Revisionist

Versus

Sukhdeep Kaur and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate, for the revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 5.10.2015 (Annexure-P-4), passed by the MACT, Patiala, vide which the application of the present revisionist/objector for staying the sale proceedings in execution petition, was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the revisionist contends that the present revisionist has filed the objections in the execution proceedings. The sale has been ordered, but vide the impugned order, the lower Court has declined to stay the sale.

In these circumstances, the lower Court is directed to

CR No. 8856 of 2015 (O/M)

decide the objections filed by the present revisionist. The sale may be carried out, but the sale will not be confirmed till the disposal of the said objections.

The present revision is disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.12.2015
sjks