

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 882 of 2015 (O&M)  
Date of decision: March 2, 2015

Neetu Bhardwaj

...Petitioner

Versus

Pankaj Sharma

...Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN**

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

**K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner will have appropriate remedy to challenge the correctness of the order along with the grounds of appeal, whenever the ultimate decision made itself turns out against the petitioner and the evidence which is permitted to be brought now is held to be relevant when the petitioner's case is that it is not relevant.

2. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to avail of appropriate challenge in the appeal in the contingency outlined above.

**March 2, 2015**  
prem

**(K.KANNAN)**  
**JUDGE**