

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.M. No.11266-CII of 2015 and
C.R. No.8503 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision.27.05.2015**

Som Nath Nahar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Vinod Kumar and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Amit Jhanji, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Bajaj, Advocate
for the respondents.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Through the application in C.M. No.11266-CII of 2015, the counsel for the respondents states that the revision petition may be allowed and the petitioner may be granted an opportunity to reopen and examine further witnesses.

2. The application is allowed as prayed for. The impugned order is set aside and the revision petition is allowed with liberty to the petitioner to let in further evidence.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 27, 2015
Pankaj*