

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CR No. 8461 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.04.2015

Jasbir Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Bhola Ram

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr.Pankaj Bali,Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr.Satinder Khanna,Advocate
for the respondent

--

SABINA, J

Respondent had sought ejectment of the petitioner by filing petition under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act,1949. The said petition was allowed by the learned Rent Controller vide order dated 3.2.2014. The said order was upheld in appeal by the appellate authority vide order dated 23.8.2014. Hence, the present petition by the tenant.

At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 9.4.2015, following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he does not press the present petition on merit,however, considering the fact that he is in possession of the premises in dispute for the last about 40 years and carrying on his business

there, some reasonable time be granted to him to make alternative arrangement.

Notice of motion for 27.4.2015 limited to the prayer mentioned above.

Process dasti only.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that in execution proceedings, possession of demised premises has been handed over to the respondent and the execution petition filed by the respondent was dismissed as fully satisfied by the Executing Court vide order dated 7.4.2015. The said fact submitted by the learned counsel for the respondent has not been controverted by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Since the possession has already been handed over by the petitioner to the respondent, this petition is rendered infructuous and is disposed of accordingly.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

April 27, 2015

arya