

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 8410 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.05.2015

Leeza Dhingra

... Petitioner

versus

Toshan Bhatia

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Naresh Gopal Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

K.Kannan, J.

The revision is against the order declining maintenance. Counsel for the respondent states that the parties have compromised the matter and the proceedings are now initiated for divorce by mutual consent under Section 13B of the Hindu Marriage Act.

There is no representation for the petitioner.

The petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

The petitioners is at liberty to apply for review if the issue of maintenance has not been resolved in the settlement terms and if there is still a felt need for consideration of the quantum of maintenance.

14.05.2015

kv

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**