

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 8694 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 21.12.2015

Balbir Kaur and others

..... Revisionists

Versus

Amarjit Kaur and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. C.L. Verma, Advocate, for the revisionists.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 3.11.2015 (Annexure-P-1), passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Phillaur, vide which the evidence of the defendants was closed by court order.

I have heard the learned counsel for the revisionists and have also carefully gone through the file.

It comes out that a suit for declaration and permanent injunction was filed before the lower Court. The learned counsel has produced the photocopies of the interim orders, which show that as

CR No. 8694 of 2015 (O/M)

many as 10 opportunities were granted to the defendants to conclude their evidence. However, on the 10th opportunity, out of which one was last opportunity, an application for additional evidence was filed, which was later on allowed. Even thereafter, three opportunities were granted. In this way, 13 opportunities have been granted to the defendants to conclude their evidence. There has to be some end to the litigation. Therefore, the evidence of the defendants was rightly closed by court order. No ground to interfere in the impugned order. Hence, the present revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

21.12.2015
sjks