

**123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.8618 of 2015

Date of Decision: December 18, 2015

M/s Shah Rice Mills and others Petitioners

vs.

Punjab State Electricity Board Patiala Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Ms. Himani Kapila, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 08.09.2015 passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Gurdaspur, whereby the opportunity to file the objection was deemed nil and warrants of attachment were issued for 03.11.2015 on filing the list of properties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that earlier execution applications filed on two occasions by the respondent-decree holder were dismissed in default. Now after 8 years, fresh execution has been filed, in which warrants of attachment were order to be issued.

The fresh execution cannot be dismissed until the decree is satisfied. Since the decree is not satisfied, therefore, there is no ground to interfere in the impugned order.

Hence, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

December 18, 2015
sarita