

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.8613 of 2015

Date of decision: 18.12.2015

Om Parkash

.....Petitioner

versus

Sube Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Rao Ajender Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the defence of defendant No.1 has been struck off for not filing the written statement.

Perusal of the impugned order dated 24.9.2015, passed by the learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Rewari shows that the written statement was not filed despite availing 10 effective opportunities. Suit is for possession by way of partition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks only one more opportunity to file the written statement. The case is stated to be fixed for 18.1.2016 for framing of issues.

In such circumstances and keeping in view the controversy involved, without issuing notice to the opposite party, the

present petitioner-defendant No.1 is granted one opportunity to file the written statement on or before the next date of hearing fixed before the lower Court, subject to payment of Rs.5000/- to be deposited in the District Legal Aid Fund, Rewari.

The revision stands allowed accordingly.

18.12.2015
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge