120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.8587 of 2015
Date of Decision: December 18, 2015

Sant Ram .... Petitioner

vs.

Raghbir Singh and others .... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 21.09.2015 (Annexure P-4) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Jhajjar, vide which the evidence of the petitioner was closed by court order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he may be granted only one opportunity to conclude his evidence.

The suit is for declaration and permanent injunction, where the vital rights of both the parties are involved. Therefore, in the interest of justice, the petitioner is allowed to produce and examine his witness(s) at his own risk and responsibility, subject to payment of costs of ₹1,000/- toward District Legal Aid Funds, Jhajjar.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 18, 2015
sarita

SARITA RANI
2015.12.19 17:08
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh