

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.8575 of 2015
Date of decision: 18.12.2015**

Neeta Taprial

....Petitioner

Versus

Prem Kumar Nijhawan and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Piyush Kant Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI J. (Oral)

The petitioner has come up in revision against the orders dated 14.09.2015 and 13.11.2015, whereby the trial Court vide order dated 14.09.2015 (Annexure P-2) has ordered the cross-examination of defendant No.1 by the petitioner/defendant No.2 to be treated as 'NIL' and has subsequently vide order dated 13.11.2015 (Annexure P-6) disallowed the application for recalling of the said order.

On an application made by defendant No.1, the evidence was re-opened on 19.02.2015 and this order was challenged in revision by defendant No.2, however the revision petition was disposed of by observing that after recording the evidence of defendant No.1, the defendant No.2, will have the

opportunity to lead her evidence in rebuttal to the evidence led by defendant No.1-plaintiff.

Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances, the present revision petition is allowed and the orders dated 14.09.2015 and 13.11.2015 are set-aside and one effective opportunity is being granted to the petitioner-defendant No.2 to cross-examine the witness, namely, Narinder Kumar for the proper adjudication of the dispute between the parties, subject to payment of cost of Rs.2,500/- to be deposited before the State Legal Services Authority, Chandigarh.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

18.12.2015

yakub