

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.8241 of 2014
Date of Decision: December 18, 2015

Sarabjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Jaswinderpal Singh

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Arun Takhi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajeev Vij, Advocate,
Legal Aid Counsel,
for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

During the course of arguments, Mr.Rajeev Vij, learned counsel for the respondent has apprised the Court that after contest, a decree of divorce has been granted in favour of the petitioner in HMA Case No.98 of 2013.

The present revision is arising out of the dismissal of application moved under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act.

In view of the fact that the decree of divorce has been granted vide judgment and decree dated 7.10.2015, nothing survives in the present revision petition. The same is accordingly rendered infructuous.

December 18, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE