

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.8519 of 2015

Date of decision: 15.12.2015

Prem Chand Gupta

.....Petitioner

Versus

Veena Gupta

.....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Rajeev Duggal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Challenge in this petition is to the order dated 17.10.2015 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Additional District Judge, Panchkula, whereby application filed by the respondent-wife under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 has been allowed and Rs.15,000/- per month has been awarded to her towards maintenance pendent-lite along with a sum of Rs.2500/- as litigation expenses.

A perusal of the impugned order shows that vide order dated 22.04.2011 passed by Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panckhula, maintenance to the tune of Rs.10,000/- per month has been awarded to the respondent-wife in a petition filed under Section 125 Cr.P.C. Apart from that vide order dated 21.05.2014 passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panchkula, a sum of Rs.5000/- per month has been granted to the wife towards interim maintenance in a petition filed under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005.

day by day, the amount of maintenance awarded by the Additional District Judge, Panchkula, under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, is not on the higher side. Accordingly, the impugned order does not require any interference.

Dismissed.

15.12.2015
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE