

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.8208 of 2014(O&M)

Date of Decision : 12.5.2015

Bishan Dass

.....Petitioner

Versus

Manmohan Arora (since deceased) represented by his LRs

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURMIT RAM

Present: Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Arun K.Bakshi, Advocate
for the respondents along with
Sh.Sanjeev Arora, LR No.(iv) in person.

GURMIT RAM, J.

Learned counsel for the respondents has contended that respondents are ready to grant time by the end of this year to the petitioner-tenant to vacate the demised premises.

On the other hand, the learned counsel for the petitioner-tenant has contended that one year time be given to the petitioner-tenant to vacate the demised premises in order to enable him to find out some suitable alternative accommodation.

So, keeping in view the above contentions of learned counsel for both the parties, the petitioner-tenant is granted time to vacate the demised premises and to deliver its vacant possession to the respondent-landlord by 28th February, 2016. The petitioner-tenant is

directed to file an undertaking within 15 days from today before the registry of this Court to comply with the above-said condition.

In case the petitioner-tenant fails to file this undertaking then in that eventuality, the respondent-landlord will be at liberty to seek the vacant possession of the demised premises forthwith by filing execution in the Executing Court on the basis of the impugned judgment.

With these observations, the above-said revision stands disposed of accordingly.

12.5.2015
Brij

(GURMIT RAM)
JUDGE