

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.8135 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.07.2015

Narinder Singh

.... Petitioner

versus

Hakam Singh and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. S.S. Rangi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rakesh Chopra, Advocate,
for the respondents.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ?No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

Revision is not maintainable for the simple reason that the order passed is one under Order XXI, Rule 101 CPC. Rule 103 CPC declares such an order is in the nature of a decree. It is appealable as a decree and the petitioner will resort to such an action before the appellate court of competent jurisdiction. If there is any delay in filing the appeal, the petitioner may resort to an application under Section 14 of the Limitation Act and set out the reasons for his bona fide prosecution before this court as required for the

exclusion for the purpose of computation of the period of limitation.

The revision petition is dismissed but with the above observations.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

07.07.2015
sanjeev