

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R.No.8429 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: December 10, 2015

Rashpal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Satnam Singh & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Rishu Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits
that the present revision has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the statement of the learned counsel for the
petitioner, the present revision is disposed of as infructuous.

December 10, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE