

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CM No.27191-CII of 2015 &
Civil Revision No.8420 of 2015
Date of Decision: December 23, 2015

Sandeep Dutt

...Petitioner

Versus

Ms.Vidya Mahankali Rao & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Manish Soni, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sanjay Saharay, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has handed over a draft of ₹10.00 lacs to the learned counsel for the respondent. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the balance amount of ₹15.00 lacs shall be paid in instalments within six months.

Keeping in view the aforementioned facts, the impugned order is set-aside.

It is made clear that in case there is two defaults in making the payment, the respondents shall be at liberty to seek the execution of the balance amount in accordance with law. However, this order is only confined to the decree vis-a-vis mesne profits.

With the aforementioned observations, the revision petition stands disposed of.

December 23, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE