

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CM-25345-CII-2015 &
CR No.8094 of 2014(O&M)
Date of decision: 03.12.2015

Mohd. Khurshid and another

... Petitioner

Versus

Pawan Kumar

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. PS Sullar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. SKS Bedi, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the dispute between the parties stands settled and nothing substantive survives in the petition. And, the same be dismissed as having become infructuous.

Accordingly, the application is allowed and the civil revision is taken on board. And, the same is dismissed as having become infructuous.

December 3, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge