

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 837 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: July 10, 2015

Smt. Phuli Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Sudhir Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

After the order passed by this court on 28.5.2015, it would appear that the amount that was deposited was permitted to be withdrawn by the decree-holder. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that there are still amounts due, but I do not think it is necessary for me to make any reference to the entitlement of any additional sum or otherwise. I will leave it to the party to resort to execution. If there is any justification for additional claim that remains payable, the executing court shall consider the same and pass appropriate order.

The revision petition stands disposed of.

July 10, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE