

112.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.8362 of 2015

Date of decision: 09.12.2015

Shri Krishna Institute of Engineering and Technology, Rattan Dera
Road, Kurukshetra, and others.

... Petitioners

versus

Ravi Bhushan and others

.... Respondents

II. Civil Revision No.8370 of 2015

Shri Krishna Institute of Engineering and Technology, Rattan Dera
Road, Kurukshetra, and others.

... Petitioners

versus

Parveen Sharma and others

.... Respondents

III. Civil Revision No.8384 of 2015

Shri Krishna Institute of Engineering and Technology, Rattan Dera
Road, Kurukshetra, and others.

... Petitioners

versus

Savita Garg and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Ms.Savita Tanwar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ?No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ? No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. There is no scope for intervention by invoking extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution against an order directing production of records by the court below.

There are two options open: either to submit the documents which are directed and stave off any action by the court or the party invites upon itself for non-production a prospect of the defence being struck off by resort to Order 11 Rule 21 CPC.

2. The counsel says that there is a confusion about what documents are necessary and for what purpose. The confusion will best be dispelled by approaching the court which passed the order and not transmitting the confusion to this court. There is no scope for interference.

3. The petitioners are at liberty to file a petition before the court if it finds that it has any objection as regards the prayer made in the application. The court will consider the same if such objection is given through a petition and the petitioners shall abide by the order given at the interlocutory stage.

4. The revision petitions are accordingly disposed off with the above observations.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

09.12.2015
sanjeev