

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.8358 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: December 09, 2015**

Om Parkash

.... Petitioner

vs.

Vasdev and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Jatinder Nagpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The application of the present petitioner for restoration of the suit, which was dismissed in default, was dismissed vide impugned order dated 23.09.2015 passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Rajpura, on the ground that the legal heirs of respondent No.3 were not impleaded in the application and that in support of the explanation given in the application that wife of applicant had died on 03.05.2013, the death certificate of the wife of the applicant was not produced.

Let all these objections be met and on meeting such objections, the petitioner is permitted to file fresh application for restoration, which shall be decided on merits.

Accordingly, the impugned order is modified to the aforesaid extent.

December 09, 2015
sarita

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**