

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.8356 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: December 09, 2015

Raj Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

Deep Chand (deceased) through LRs and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Narender Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The objections filed by the present petitioner-judgment debtor have been dismissed and warrants of possession along with police help has also been ordered to be issued for 19.12.2015 by the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Rohtak, vide impugned order dated 17.11.2015 (Annexure P-1).

The petitioner-judgment debtor had stated that without ascertaining the suit property, the warrants of possession cannot be issued. The lower court was of the view that the petitioner-judgment debtor was the party to the suit. If there was no proper identification, the suit could not be decreed. Once the decree is passed that is to be executed.

The only apprehension of the petitioner-judgment debtor is that the warrants of possession of wrong property may be issued.

It being so, the petitioner is at liberty to point out to the executing court that the warrants of possession of correct suit property, as per the suit of the decree holder, be issued.

Thus, there is no ground to interfere in the impugned order. Accordingly, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

December 09, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE