

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 800 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: December 18, 2015

Baljeet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Beant Singh

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Ms. Gurnam Kaur Turka, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

CM No. 26651 CII of 2015

CM is allowed. The affidavit is taken on record.

Main case

In the affidavit, the petitioner has affirmed that she will not interfere in the use of the tubewell in question that is put to use by the respondent to the extent of his share. In view of the said undertaking, direction for attachment of the property of the petitioner as brought under Order 21 Rule 32 of the Code of Civil Procedure is vacated.

The revision is allowed on the above terms.

December 18, 2015
prem

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

