

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.7999 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision:17.03.2015

Harvinder Singh and others

... Petitioners

versus

Inderjit Kaur and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Puneet Jindal, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Varun Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Nitesh Singhi, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The only issue that comes for consideration after notice is the time that could be granted to the tenant for vacating the premises. The learned senior counsel after much haggling with his clients settles for a term of at least a year to vacate the premises. The counsel for the respondents says that 3 months' time will be appropriate time and points out to the fact that the tenant has yet another construction recently made in an adjoining location. I grant a period of 10 months to vacate to coincide with 31st January, 2016. The petitioner will file an undertaking in court within one week that he will vacate the premises as directed above. He will also continue to pay the rent at the contract rate within 10 days of every calendar

month. The failure to comply with any of the directions will result in acceleration of the time to enable the landlord to apply for ejectment in accordance with law.

2. The civil revision is disposed of on the above terms.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

17.03.2015
sanjeev