

118.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.8277 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision:07.12.2015

& Civil Revision No.8278 of 2015 (O&M)

Jai Ram

... Petitioner

versus

Bhundu (since deceased, through his LRs) and another.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Akshay Kumar Goel, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ? No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The counsel says that he will give no evidence other than the documents which were sought to be filed by means of additional evidence as certified copies of jamabandis. According to him, the photocopies were already filed and since the prayer in the suit is that the jamabandis entries are also to be made in favour of the plaintiff, production of certified copies would obtain relevance.
2. The order already passed closing the evidence is set aside, dispensing with notice to the respondents for the limited

purpose of admitting only copies of jamabandis and tendering appropriate proof thereon. No further evidence will be given. The defendants will be at liberty to offer contra evidence before the arguments are taken up.

3. Both the revisions are allowed on the above terms.

4. Respondents are at liberty to approach this court if there has been any suppression of fact or any issue that has not been specifically addressed.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

07.12.2015
sanjeev