

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.8187 of 2015

Date of decision: 03.12.2015

Chandan Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Mohinder Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. K.C. Rajput, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Challenge in this petition is to the order dated 20.10.2015 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Addl. Civil Judge (Senior Division) Sonapat-Executing Court, whereby objections filed by JDs-petitioners have been dismissed.

Judgment Debtors (JDs) are legal heirs of Chandan, who had suffered a consent decree dated 04.12.2004. The suit filed by the plaintiff-decree holder had been decreed vide judgment dated 14.06.2011. While passing the impugned order, the executing Court has observed that in the relief clause of decree dated 14.06.2011, it was clearly mentioned that the decree dated 04.12.2001 suffered by JD No.1 (Chandan Singh) in favour of JD Nos. 2 to 4 in civil suit No.163 of 2004 was set aside. As informed by learned counsel for the petitioners, RSA No.392 of 2014 filed by the JDs has also been dismissed by this Court on 03.11.2015.

The argument of learned counsel for the petitioners that the decree

law, which the petitioners can raise during pendency of appeal and not in execution.

After going through the impugned order, no illegality, much less perversity has been found therein warranting interference by this Court.

Dismissed.

03.12.2015
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE