

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CR No. 8168 of 2015
Date of decision :December 3, 2015

Darshan Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Charanjit Kaur and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Vivek Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

The challenge in the present petition is to the impugned order dated 3.10.2015 whereby the evidence of petitioner has been closed by order.

Mr. Vivek Gupta, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner-plaintiff submits that he has to examine three witnesses as per the provisions of Order 16 Rule 1-A CPC. He prays that one opportunity to lead evidence be granted.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and appraised the paper book.

I am of the view that in order to advance justice, it is appropriate to grant one opportunity to the petitioner-plaintiff to

examine all the three witnesses as per the provisions of Order 16 Rule 1-A CPC on the date fixed by the trial court.

It is directed that the trial court shall ensure to summon the witness in accordance with law, however, the aforementioned order of mine shall be subject to payment of costs of ₹5,000/-.

It is made clear that the payment of costs would be condition precedent before availing the opportunity to examine the aforementioned witness.

The civil revision petition is allowed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

December 3 , 2015
archana