

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.8161 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision.03.12.2015**

Jiya Lal and anotherPetitioners

Vs.

Karan Singh Chopra and othersRespondents

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Tandon, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petition is brought by the defendant on plea that the Court had allowed for additional documents to be brought on record which ought not to have to have been permitted. It is a matter of discretion of the trial Court to examine the relevance and the admissibility of documents and if the Court has exercised the discretion, the matter must rest there. The defendant will carry out the cross-examination in relation to the documents if he has any objection as regards admissibility or relevant.

2. There is no intervention necessary in the revision petition.
The revision petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

December 03, 2015
Pankaj*