

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 7846 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: April 20, 2015

Sukhraj Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Deesha Mahi

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Vikas Bali, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. CL Sharma, Advocate,
for the respondent.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent states that the revision, which is against an interim order, has been rendered infructuous since the suit itself has been withdrawn.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

April 20, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE