

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.8047 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: November 30, 2015

Thaman Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

Gamdoor Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. R.K. Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that status quo order has been passed by both the courts below. The petitioner claims exclusive possession but he also claims that there are some wrong entries in the revenue record.

The only prayer of the petitioner before this Court is that the case was filed in August 2013 and keeping in view the status quo order, which will create difficulty for one or the other party, the trial court may be directed to dispose of the case expeditiously.

Grounds of the revision show that no such urgency was pleaded nor any prayer was made for time bound disposal of the case.

However, I am of the view that as per the action plan conveyed by this Court, the lower court is otherwise expected to dispose of the case expeditiously.

Accordingly, the present revision petition is disposed of with the abovenoted observations.

November 30, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE